

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/18/1999 09/443,070 TERRY L. GILTON 3530.2US 6721 EXAMINER 12/20/2005 7590 JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI GABEL, GAILENE TRASK BRITT ROSSA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P O BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 1641

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
			L	120505

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Decrease de Dula 242 Communication	09/443,070	GILTON, TERRY L.				
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641				
, The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address –			
	••	•				
1. ☐ The amendment filed on <u>18 July 2005</u> under 37 CFR	1.312 has been considered, ar	nd has been:				
a) 🔯 entered.						
b)  entered as directed to matters of form not affect	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.					
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.						
Any amendment filed after the date the issue	e fee is paid must be accompar	ied by a petition under 37 C	FR 1.313(c)(1)			
and the required fee to withdraw the applica	tion from issue.					
d) disapproved. See explanation below.						
e)  entered in part. See explanation below.						
	•					
Spul						
12/5/05						

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600